

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLÌČĄTĮON NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	3	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/482,235	01/13/2000	John L. Wood		OCR-729/756	6715
75	90 09/26/2003		,		
Mary M Krinsky			ħ	EXAMINER	
79 Trumbull St New Haven, CT				COLEMAN, BRENDA LIBBY	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1624	
				DATE MAILED: 09/26/2003	18

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/482,235

Applicant(s)

WOOD et al.

Examiner

Brenda Coleman

Art Unit 1624

		on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period fo	• •	
THE N	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	
	ons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In date of this communication.	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
- If the pe - If NO pe - Failure t - Any rep	eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within t	and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. he application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jul 14, 2	003
2a) 💢	This action is FINAL . 2b) This ac	tion is non-final.
	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Dispositi	on of Claims	
4) 💢 (Claim(s) <u>1, 3-5, 8-17, and 19-25</u>	is/are pending in the application.
48	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗌 (Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	Claim(s) <u>1, 3-5, 8-17, and 19-25</u>	
7) 🗌 (Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 🗌 (Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applicati	ion Papers	,
9) 🗌 📑	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10) 🗌 🤌	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) \square accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) 🗆 📑	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) \square approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	
13) 🗌 📝	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p	riority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) 🗌	All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:	
1.	. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received.
2.	. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been received in Application No
	application from the International Bure	ocuments have been received in this National Stage au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
	e the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	
_	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
	The translation of the foreign language provisiona	
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachmer		
_	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)
	re of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Heview (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
	The state of the s	6)

Application/Control Number: 09/482,235

Art Unit: 1624

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1, 3-5, 8-17 and 19-25 are pending in the application.

This action is in response to applicants' amendment dated July 14, 2003. Claims 1, 3 and 17 have been amended and claim 25 is newly added.

Response to Arguments

Applicants' arguments filed July 14, 2003 have been fully considered with the following effect:

- 1. The applicants' amendments are sufficient to overcome the 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph rejection labeled paragraph 2a) of the last office action, which is hereby **withdrawn**.
- 2. The applicants' amendments are sufficient to overcome the 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph rejection labeled paragraph 3 of the last office action, which is hereby withdrawn.
- 3. With regards to the 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph rejection labeled paragraph 4) of the last office action, the applicant's amendments and remarks have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The applicants stated that the substituent and/or functional group X is supported in the present specification". The applicants pointed to page 7, Reaction Scheme III of the specification, where "X represents S and/or O". However, this definition is in respect to the cyclic acetal moiety not the open congeners. See claims 1 and 17.

Application/Control Number: 09/482,235

Art Unit: 1624

Claims 1, 3-5, 8-17 and 19-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. For reasons of record and stated above.

- 4. The applicants' amendments are sufficient to overcome the 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph rejections in labeled paragraph 5) of the last office action, which are hereby withdrawn.
- 5. With regards to the 35 U.S.C. § 102 anticipation rejection of claims 1, 3-5, 8-17 and 19-24 of the last office action, the applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not found persuasive. The instant claims are not described in the applicants priority document and thus are only entitled to benefit of U.S. Application No. 08/817,230 filed June 4, 1997 as it is only completely described in the U.S. Application No. 09/206,082 filed December 4, 1998. Note *In re Scheiber* 199 USPQ 782 regarding 112 compliance for benefit under 35 USC 120.

Claims 1, 3-5, 8-17 and 19-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wood et al., Tetrahedron Letters. For reasons of record and stated above.

6. With regards to the 35 U.S.C. § 102 anticipation rejection of claims 1, 3-5, 8-17 and 19-24 of the last office action, the applicant's arguments have been fully considered but are not found persuasive. The instant claims are not described in the applicants priority document and thus are only entitled to benefit of U.S. Application No. 08/817,230 filed June 4, 1997 as it is only

Application/Control Number: 09/482,235 Page 4

Art Unit: 1624

completely described in the U.S. Application No. 09/206,082 filed December 4, 1998. Note *In re Scheiber* 199 USPQ 782 regarding 112 compliance for benefit under 35 USC 120.

Claims 1, 3-5, 8-17 and 19-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wood et al., Journal of American Chemical Society. For reasons of record and stated above.

In view of the amendment dated July 14, 2003, the following new grounds of rejection and/or reinstated rejections apply:

Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

7. Claims 17 and 19-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The amendment to the process of claim 17 where R includes the moiety Me optionally partially or fully halogenated is not described in the specification.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office action.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/482,235 Page 5

Art Unit: 1624

8. Claims 1, 3-5, 8-17 and 19-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The following reasons apply:

- a) Claims 1, 3-5, 9, 13-17 and 20-25 are vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the definition of the acetal having the ring structure, which is not stated in the form of a proper Markush group. See claims 1 and 17.
- b) Claims 1, 3-5, 9, 13-17 and 20-25 are vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by the definition of the acetal having the ring structure, where the second moiety is not a ring. See claims 1 and 17.
- c) Claim 8 recites the limitation "methyl" in three places on the structure of the furanose. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. R cannot be methyl.
- d) Claim 8 recites the limitation "methyl" in the two structures. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. R cannot be methyl.
- e) Claims 8, 12 and 13 are vague and indefinite in that it does not end with a period.
- f) Claim 11 recites the limitation "K252a" in the final product prepared by the process according to claim 1. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The structure of the K252a includes methyls.
- g) Claim 12 recites the limitation "methyl" in the three structures. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. R cannot be methyl.

Application/Control Number: 09/482,235

Art Unit: 1624

- h) Claim 12 recites the limitation "HOCH₂-" in the second structure. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- i) Claim 16 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by "diazolaactam".
- j) Claim 17 is vague and indefinite in that it is not known what is meant by "reacting an indolocarbazole having the ring structure.... by reacting a diazo compound having the ring structure...".
- k) Claim 19 recites the limitation "K252a" in the final product prepared by the process according to claim 17. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The structure of the K252a includes methyls.
- Claim 25 recites the limitation "Me, CO₂Me, DMB, PMB" in the definition of R.
 There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Page 7

Application/Control Number: 09/482,235

Art Unit: 1624

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final

action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Brenda Coleman whose telephone number is (703) 305-1880. The examiner

can normally be reached on Mondays and Tuesdays from 9:00 AM to 3:00 PM and from 5:30 PM

to 7:30 PM and on Wednesday thru Friday from 9:00 AM to 6:00 PM.

The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 308-4734 for "unofficial" purposes and the

actual number for OFFICIAL business is 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Brenda Coleman Primary Examiner AU 1624

September 25, 2003

Brenda Coleman